

Case 211401656

| | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>STATE OF UTAH Craig Peterson</p> <p>vs.</p> <p>MARK STEWART ALLEN, Pro Se</p> <p>September 23, 2024</p> | <p style="text-align: center;">RULING</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORDER TO DISMISS WITH PREJUDICE COURT RULINGS AND BY STIPULATIONS OF THE PARTIES case 211401656</p> <p>ORDER TO DISMISS 211401656 WITH PREJUDICE</p> <p>ORDER APPROVING EXPUNGEMENT CERTIFICATE 224913</p> <p>ORDER TO STAY EXPUNGEMENT OF 211401656 PENDING CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION of THIRD PARTIES</p> <p>Exhibit 1: Public Defender's Motion to Withdraw Exhibit 2: BCI Certificate 224913 / Court Notation Exhibit 3: Transcript of September 20th 2024 Hearing</p> <p>Case 211401656 Judge Robert Lunnen</p> |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

On September 20, 2024 at 1:30 pm a Hearing was held at the request of Judge Lunnen.

The State of Utah was represented by Craig Peterson.

Mr. Allen represented himself.

The Following discussion ensued, (see transcript for verification as needed).

1-Public Defender Dustin Parmely Motioned to withdraw from the case..

2- Attorney Peterson for the State of Utah Stipulated to the Expungement reciting as he had done in the 191400132 Expungement Hearing October 2023 before Judge Brady that it was an *"exact duplicate of case 191400132 and should not exist"* and in 211401656 said *"So this case is a bit of a dangling chad and I think part of the frustration is I am understanding and from Mr. Allen is, this case shouldn't even be a*

case of record anymore, you shouldn't even, there shouldn't be a file even to file these things under because it should have been expunged back in October of 23."

3- Allen's Motion to Toll the Expungement of case 211401656 pending third party criminal investigations of Provo Police and other possible participants was heard by the Court.

4- Allen's Motion to Dismiss Case (211401656) with Prejudice was discussed. The duplicated case 191400132 was discussed Allen and Peterson agreeing that the two cases were identical and the cases failed to be consolidated in a timely manner.

Exhibits on following pages followed by Court Order to be signed by Judge Lunnen

Exhibit 1: Public Defender's Motion to Withdraw

Exhibit 2: BCI Certificate 224913

Exhibit 3: Transcript of September 20th 2024 Hearing

DUSTIN M. PARMLEY (13831)
UTAH COUNTY PUBLIC DEFENDERS ASSOCIATION
Attorneys for Defendant
180 N. University Ave., Suite 140
Provo, Utah 84601
(801) 852-1070
dustinp@utcpd.com

IN THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT
STATE OF UTAH, UTAH COUNTY

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| STATE OF UTAH Plaintiff, vs. MARK ALLEN, Defendant. | WITHDRAWAL OF COUNSEL Case No 211401656 Judge: Robert Lunnan |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|

COMES NOW, Dustin Parmley, Utah County Public Defender Association, and hereby withdraws as counsel for the Defendant. Defendant is representing himself.

DATED; this 23 day of September, 2024.

/s/ Dustin Parmley
Dustin Parmley
Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 23, 2024, I caused to be delivered a copy of the foregoing Withdrawal of Counsel filed in the above-captioned matter to the following parties via electronic filing:

Utah County Attorney's Office
100 East Center, Suite 2100
Provo, Utah 84606

/s/ Marcia Dibble

Marcia Dibble
LEGAL ASSISTANT

Exhibit 2: BCI Certificate 224913



State of Utah
Department of Public Safety
Bureau of Criminal Identification
CERTIFICATE OF
EXPUNGEMENT ELIGIBILITY

224913

NAME ALLEN, MARK STEWART DOB 01-29-1962

PREVIOUSLY USED NAMES:
(MARK STEWART ALLEN), (MARK ALLEN)

SID # 1375693 FBI # 70V3CJ06R

DATE OF ARREST 11/01/2021 DATE OF VIOLATION / OFFENSE 12/21/2018

BOOKING AGENCY NO ADDITIONAL INFO

ARRESTING AGENCY UTAH COUNTY SHERIFF

PROSECUTOR UTAH COUNTY

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY PROVO CITY POLICE

LEA CASE # 18PR32810

CHARGE(S):
STALKING

COURT NAME 4TH DISTRICT COURT - PROVO COURT CASE # 211401656

ACQUITTED CONVICTED DECLINED DISMISSED

FAILED TO FILE CLASS F3

COMMENTS

Each Expungement Order must contain the above information

This document was researched and prepared by the undersigned representative of the Utah Bureau of Criminal Identification in accordance with Title 77 Chapter 40 of the Utah Code. The information contained herein reflects all of the information regarding this incident that the bureau has been able to confirm.

/s/ PAUL RICHARDS
Authorized Signature



This certificate must be received by the court on or before 08/14/2024



Fourth District Court

December 20, 2023

Mark Stewart Allen
Email: markstewartallen@gmail.com

Re: GRAMA Record Request

Dear Mr. Allen,

The court has reviewed your GRAMA request and I have attached a copy of the Ex-Parte Temporary Stalking Injunction you reference to in #1.

The court has reviewed the case file and is unable to locate a Permanent Stalking Injunction you reference to in #2 and #3.

Sincerely,

Tracy Bullock
Case Manager
To Judge James Brady

Exhibit 3: 11 pages Transcript of September 20th 2024 Hearing

TRANSCRIPT 211401656 September 20th 2024 1:30pm

Webex <https://utcourts.webex.com/utcourts/j.php?>

MTID=m49ae94e928f5c624fc5fd9c55fa7d3b5

Judge Lunnen: Good afternoon, everyone. This is Judge Lunnen. Let me see if we can have Mr. Peterson turn on his video. Thank you, Mr. Peterson. We're going to address *State of Utah vs. Mark Allen*, a, case number is 211401656. We have um Mr. Dustin Parmley here who was counsel of record for Mr. Allen. We have Craig Peterson on behalf of the Attorney General's office, and Mr. Allen's present, um I actually asked for this hearing...

Judge Lunnen: Mr. Allen has been filing a number of pleadings in the docket and I'm seeing all of those pleadings but the pleadings that have been filed are being filed in a closed case. Because the case number I have which was the old criminal case, a, its been dismissed.

Judge Lunnen: And the problem Mr. Allen, is the reason I wanted to have you come is to explain that anything you file in that case I can't consider because its closed. You've filed for example a number of documents one in particular theres been a petition under 42 U.S.C. § Section 1983. That can be filed in State Court although you ought to talk to an attorney because the remedies in state court are a whole lot less than they are bases on immunity differences it better to file something like that significantly in federal court, because the federal statute 42 U.S.C. § Section 1983.

Judge Lunnen: I am not an expert on that but, the biggest problem that I have now is you are kind of wasting your time filing these in a case that has been dismissed because I have no jurisdiction to enter these any of these on the record frankly due to differences in immunity.

Judge Lunnen: You'd have to open a civil case and file those pleadings in a civil case, um and generally 1983 actions are not filed in State Court anyway, they can be umm, but as I said the remedies are different. Mr. Parmley let me let you speak.

Mr. Parmley: Thank You Your Honor, I filed a yesterday a Motion to withdraw as counsel because the case has been dismissed. These filings have been submitted by Mr. Allen without consulting our office, and a frankly they go far beyond the scope

of representation and services we provide with the public defenders office We we move to withdraw at this time.

Judge Lunnen: I'll grant your motion to withdraw.

Mr. Parmley. Thank you Your Honor.

Mr. Allen: Thank you Dustin. I appreciate your help.

Dustin: Thanks Mark

Mark: Your Honor, if I may

Mr. Peterson: Your Honor, may I interject for a second?

Judge Lunnen: A sure

Mr. Peterson: Okay let me, I'm going to kind of give you the low down on why I think we are here. Umm,

Mr. Peterson: This case was tied to another case, that case number was 191400132, and this was the case where the Court may recall it where originally criminal charges were filed initially filed against Mr. Allen in 2019. That case was dismissed with conditions, so basically almost a diversion type of agreement. Then in 2021, David Leavitt's office decided that Mr. Allen had violated those conditions when he filed a motion to expunge or something along that nature that had a lot of allegations involving the victim. So they refiled the 2019 case and when they refiled it they didn't file it under the old case number, they filed it under the new case, under this case number 21.

Mr. Peterson: Back in 22, a Mr. Parmley referred in 2022 Your Honor said that "Mr. Allen basically had immunity on the pleadings, and there was no basis for the refile in 21" and so you dismissed the case, did it without prejudice and at that time reminded Mr. Allen that he still had the obligation to obey the Orders, or the agreement of the 2019 dismissal. That part you are aware of.

Mr. Peterson: What you are not aware of and this is where I am a little troubled that we are here is on October 10th of 2023, so last year in October. We, Mr. Allen

and I went before Judge Brady and the reason we were before Judge Brady is because he was the judge who was assigned to that original case, the 191400132 case. And at that time, a Motion was made to consolidate the two cases, this one 211401656 into 191400132 because they were defacto the same case.

Mr. Peterson: And then the Motion was once that consolidation happened 191400132 would then be expunged, the certificate, the Petition for expungement would be granted and I stipulated to that.

Mr. Peterson: What happened is, the case, 191400132 got expunged prior to this case getting merged into it. So this case is a bit of a dangling chad and I think part of the frustration is I am understanding and from Mr. Allen is, this case shouldn't even be a case of record anymore, you shouldn't even, there shouldn't be a file even to file these things under because it should have been expunged back in October of 23.

Judge Lunnan: I do have a Motion to expunge that's filed now for Mr. Allen that he filed that actually I could grant, there is no reason why I can't except it sounds like we have a little complication with it being consolidated.

Mr. Peterson: Well it, the other case was expunged prior to consolidation and and because it was expunged that motion and order don't exist anymore. They are gone. and so the State would on the record today stipulate to the expungement of this case.

Mr. Allen: Your Honor.....

Judge Lunnan: Hang on one second Mr. Allen. I do want you to speak and ...

Mr. Allen: Thank you.

Judge Lunnan: I just want to clarify some things for, so a, as I said I now have a motion to expunge that Mr. Allen has filed in this 2021 case, and I am prepared to which I could grant it, it sounds like you have no opposition.

Mr. Peterson: Correct.

Judge Lunnan: The only thing I need to wait on Mr. Allen is because there is an alleged victim in this 21 case, I have to wait by law 60 days to make sure they don't have an objection to the expungement, or the prosecutor needs to tell me, that they have checked with the (alleged) victim and the (alleged) victim doesn't have any objection to the expungement. And so that 60 days runs up on October 13th.

Judge Lunnan: Ahh and so my intent is, if there is no objection to it I am to grant the expungement in the 2021 case of the one that we have here (211401656) but the main reason that I wanted to hold this hearing was to try to help you not waste your time filing more documents in this case, and that is a 1983 action, you filed a Coram Nobis and really a Coram Nobis has been uhh done away with in the State of Utah by statute, its the same thing as a post conviction relief act claim, which is a civil action and it has to be filed by a party to address by Habeas Corpus their conviction, in this case, you don't have a conviction and so it, it can't be filed in this case and Utah doesn't recognize Coram Nobis anymore because it was amended by the post conviction relief act.

Judge Lunnan: Umm, in the past that was a valid claim, that was a valid petition, but it had to be filed civilly in a different proceeding you couldn't just file it in the old criminal case and obviously you can't file a post conviction relief act case when there is no conviction. Ahh its only filed where there is conviction filed in the record. And so, uhh, I didn't want you waste your time and money filing more documents when I have no jurisdiction or authority to even look at those or respond to, or allow them to have any meaning in this case because its dismissed. Go ahead.

Mr. Allen: I appreciate that and Attorney Peterson and Judge Lunnan I did not put that flurry of papers into the record with any ill intent, there's a criminal investigation that is going to start in reference to false police reports from 2017 and 2018, so I've petitioned the Court to toll the expungement pending the investigation of criminal allegations.

Mr. Allen: I did have a choice to file in Federal Court but I was hopeful that Attorney Peterson would like to do a conference, there is some evidence that he has not seen, there's still 11 pieces of evidence that I should be getting next week, but this document here that came from your court in December of last year says

there was never any protective orders, so the mere fact that I have to appear again is a due process violation. Mr. Peterson came in at the tail end of a legal train wreck as you have yourself Your Honor and I apologize for wasting the Courts time, I've been made homeless, there were 30 prosecutors in Leavitt's office that got fired.

Mr. Allen: I think they ought to have an opportunity to be heard to help fill in the blanks, so that's the reason why I'd like to have my expungement tolled pending the criminal investigation. I've also filed a motion to ask for injunctive protection against those who have demonstrated ill intent. David Leavitt refiled the, he dismissed the 191400132 case after he had viewed a 33 minute video that I don't think Mr. Peterson or any other prosecutors have viewed, its got some sensitive 412 evidence and I was sexually assaulted by my accuser and the State's witness, and that demonstrates such.

Mr. Allen: So the refile of the 211 case was not a diversion, that's a false premise, it was done in retaliation and I have evidence that demonstrates such and I will be presenting to a special investigator, I don't want to go to the DOJ or the U.S. Attorney for the State of Utah, but I could, but my goal first between now and October would be to meet with Mr. Peterson, you've got people in your office who. I think are really good and honest people. Carl Hollan is a good man. He recused himself early on because he realized there had been some criminal and due process violations by Leavitt.

Judge Lunnen: ... and ...When you say "in your office" remember Mr. Peterson is with the Attorney General's Office. I think... is Carl Hollan at the AG's office now?

Attorney Peterson: He is Your Honor. He's with our office now, he's been with us for a few years now.

Judge Lunnen: Of course the point I was trying to make to help you is I have no jurisdiction to respond to any of the pleadings you are filing in a closed criminal case and they can't even be considered, but you want to file a civil complaint you can do that including a 1983 action but it has to be filed and you can request there be no fee, in other words,,,

Mr. Allen: I understand Your Honor. What is still I believe in your jurisdiction is to Dismiss with Prejudice my case, this one should have never existed but if it gets expunged then we are covering up criminal evidence that will have to be opened back up same as 191400132 case.

Mr. Allen: However, I've also filed a motion to toll the expungement due to an ongoing criminal investigation into false police reports from 2017 and 2018. I would prefer to wait on the expungement until the investigation concludes.

Judge Lunnan: Do you want to withdraw your Motion to Expunge?

Mr. Allen: I don't want to withdraw, I just want to toll it pending a criminal investigation by P.O.S.T. and by the State AG's Office and potentially by the U.S. District Attorney for the State of Utah.

Judge Lunnan: Have you filed anything to stay my ruling on the expungement?

Mr. Allen: I did I filed a Motion to Toll pending criminal investigation by a third party.

Judge Lunnan: Was that just filed recently?

Mr. Allen: That was filed within the last two weeks, I believe.

Judge Lunnan: Alright I don't have any problem not signing the Motion to Expunge if that's what you want to do, umm..

Mr. Allen: I'd like to toll it so that, what happened is, this is all been a train wreck and Craig I am sorry that you have had to waste anytime on it. Carl Hollan works in your office, Bethany Warr works in your office, The Governor now has Katie Fox works out of his office. I would hope that we could have Tyson Downey appointed as a Special Investigator to try and undo the damage which has been done to some of your team members. There's 30 other prosecutors out of which I think 28 are good people, they were harmed by Leavitt's misconduct. I filed the first Bar complaint him and so now he is living in Scotland, thats why I filed asking for a bench warrant so that we can undo the collective cumulative damage. I

Judge Lunnan:)...and You filed the Request for a Bench Warrant in this court in this my case this 21 case and its assigned to me that's been dismissed and so the problem is I have no authority to issue a bench warrant.

I'll hold off on signing the expungement order if that's your request.

Mr. Allen: I understand. My hope would be to have a conference with you Craig and also Justin Seitzinger and maybe Jeff Gray and show you the video evidence of potential criminal conduct, provide the posting,

Mr. Allen: I believe there is probable cause for a bench warrant and I also believe that you and I are on the same side of the Constitution and that we are on the same side of the Utah Constitution and the Code of Ethical Conduct and the Judicial Rules of Conduct, I think we are all three on the same side and I would like to have a Conference with you so that you can understand the opportunity we have to restore justice to Utah County and the State without me having to come at you as an adversary. When I worked with Stephen Covey....

Judge Lunnan:...Hang on one second..this is a conversation that I probably should not participate in, remember you have Mr. Peterson who is part of the Executive Branch, I am part of the Judicial Branch and so any conversations that you might have with Mr. Peterson about investigations I should probably not participate in at all.

Mr. Allen: That's fair enough. Craig would you be willing to do a conference between now and October 13th?

Mr. Peterson: ... and the short answer is no and I'm in kind of the same position that Mr. Parmley was in. My appointment was limited to the prosecution of the case and the minute the case was dismissed, I'm out!

Mr. Allen: Okay, That's fine.

Mr. Peterson: I don't have any other involvement in the case other than to say the State has no objection and will stipulate to the expungement.

Mr. Allen: Well I'd like to continue to have the expungement tolled pending a criminal investigation by a third party and I believe that the Court has an obligation

to report the misconduct of potential 1983 and 1985 (violations) as well as to the Utah Bar.

Mr. Allen: I believe Craig, with all due respect I know its painful, but I believe you've got an obligation to report and I didn't want to make any other people participants in this case, I was trying to have a conference with you so that we could try and put out the forest fire that I have endured for 7 years.

Mr. Peterson: Well, that's my point - I am limited to the prosecution of this case, I have no other authority, I have nothing else to do with anything so, I ... I'm not a good resource.. for you.

Mr. Allen: I understand, but you do have an obligation to the Ethical Code of Conduct which has been violated and Your Honor with all due respect you do as well and so does Judge Brady, theres been....

Judge Lunnen: I disagree and I'll tell you why Mr. Allen that I disagree. Uh Officers have, yes we are all lawyers and have a Code of Ethics, and we have ultimate obligation to report criminal conduct, but its only criminal conduct where we know the facts and we believe based on those facts that there is criminal conduct that has occurred and right now I know nothing about anything and I doubt Mr. Peterson does either about the allegations you are making because I wasn't even around during the time you are, and neither was Mr. Peterson.

Mr. Allen: I totally agree...

Judge Lunnen: ... Generally we have a duty to report criminal conduct, I agree, but its only criminal conduct when we have knowledge that criminal conduct has occurred... and I don't know any of the facts in your case, nothing!

Mark Allen: Well, I started my conversation saying you are both coming in at the tail end of a legal train wreck, so I know you are only seeing the tip of the iceberg and the tip of the iceberg was provided by your office that just said "look there was never any protective order there was never any stalking injunction (Exhibit Dec 20th 2023 letter from Court). So I have survived 4 court cases that were predicated upon Constitutional violations. So I get it that you don't You are coming in late

in the game. I've been prosecuted late in the game, so I would stipulate to the case being Dismissed with Prejudice and tolled, toll the BCI expungement so the records can stay open and then I meet with P.O.S.T on Monday and I filed a complaint with the AG's office. The AG should be protecting me. The Constitution is a shield to protect me. I sounds to me that we are all on the same team.

Judge Lunnan: I have more hearings today and everything you've told me, there is nothing I can act upon, you are preaching to the choir so to speak. I have nothing I can do, you could talk to me all day long and tell me about all these things, I have no authority - like Mr. Peterson because this case is closed - its the only case that involves you that I have any jurisdiction on and right the only jurisdiction I have, the only authority I have is to either grant or deny or stay - as you are asking me to do, your Motion for Expungement, beyond that I don't have any other authority to act on this case.

Mark Allen: Does that mean you don't have authority to dismiss it with prejudice? Its been dismissed without prejudice, but can you not dismiss it with prejudice because it was a duplicated, it was a civil rights violation, I'd like to clean that up first but I would like to stay the expungement so that the criminal investigation and the complaint that I made to the AG's office can be looked at, and then if I have to go to the federal filing I will but my goal is not to be adverse to you Craig because you have got good people working in your office, but you have been party to, you are at the back end of the train wreck of legal weaponization that I believe you understand now.

Mark Allen: So Your Honor with that I would like to have the case DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, THE EXPUNGEMENT CERTIFICATE TOLLED, pending a criminal investigation by P.O.S.T, and the AG's office that has been submitted through formal channels.

Judge Lunnan: Did you file a Motion to Dismiss it with Prejudice?

Mark Allen: I have Your Honor within the last two weeks.

Judge Lunnan: Alright, maybe what we need to do is to have a hearing on that, we can

Mr. Peterson. Your Honor I can tell you the State won't have an objection because the without prejudice was specific to the 191400132 case...

Judge Lunnan: Right

Mr. Peterson: ... and that case has been expunged, doesn't exist anymore, so any agreements therein are gone, so it, it's sixes at this point Your Honor and I have no objection to the status being Dismissed with Prejudice at this point.

Judge Lunnan: Well, based on that being on the record I will do that. I'll just sign the pleading if it's correct - the pleading that Mr. Allen has filed I will Dismiss the Case with Prejudice.

Mark Allen: Thank you and let's just keep the Certificate active for the expungement so I don't have to go through that process again pending the criminal investigation, if that's alright.

Judge Lunnan: I'll hold it (the BCI expungement certificate) until I hear back from you. In the meantime I am going to file an Order to Dismiss the case with Prejudice. Have you submitted an order with it?

Mr. Allen: I did, I submitted a proposed order ...

Judge Lunnan: I'll sign the Order.

Mr. Allen: Alright.... And Craig ... Thank you, I think honestly we are all on the same side but you came in at the 3 seconds left in the 4th quarter and Your Honor, the same for you- I totally respect your position, this case honestly the stack of evidence is 7 feet tall. And it took 4 years through GRAMA for me to find exculpatory evidence that was withheld.

Mr. Allen: Last week I found there is 11 more pieces of exculpatory evidence that was withheld so I am going to aggregate that and I will give it to a special investigator and I hope that the AG's office in collaboration with me in a different case to protect my civil rights and maybe help restore some of the black eyes that were given to some of your good prosecutors. We had 30 people fired out of Utah County and some of them are really fine people.

Judge Lunnan: So, Again, I don't want to participate in these conversations because basically you are talking about alleged evidence which I should not participate in.

Mark Allen: Understood I'll stop there. Let's close out and say I appreciate the judicial integrity and thank you Craig for your time today and I didn't put things into the record to frustrate or cause any consternation, its just because prior records have been sealed and as such outside eyes can't see the hell I have been put through.

Judge Lunnan: Alright, well thank you for appearing today Mr. Peterson. Thank you Mr. Allen - I'll sign that Order to Dismiss with Prejudice and this case will be closed with Prejudice.

Mr. Peterson: Thank you.

Mark Allen: Just continue to toll it until you hear back from me so that outside eyes..

Judge Lunnan: ...The expungement - yeah, I'll hang onto it until I hear back.

Mark Allen: Alright ...Thank you very much Your Honor.

Judge Lunnan: I will make sure it has been stayed.

Mark Allen: Thank you both very much I appreciate it.

Judge Lunnan: Thank you.

IN THE MATTER OF STATE OF UTAH v. ALLEN

The COURT ORDERS THE FOLLOWING IN CASE 211401656

- 1- Public Defender Dustin Parmely's Motion to withdraw from the case is granted.
- 2- The State of Utah - represented by Craig Peterson stipulated to the Expungement with no opposition and,
- 3- The State of Utah stipulated the 211401656 case being "an exact duplicate of case 191400132 and that 211401656 should be expunged and that request is granted.
- 3- Allen's BCI Expungement Certificate 224913 is accepted by the court and is granted.
- 40 The processing of the expungement certificate 224913 will be tolled (stayed) pending criminal investigations and is granted.
- 4- Judge Lunnen agrees to hold onto the BCI certificate for processing until further notification by Allen.
5. Case 211401656 pleadings to be Dismissed with Prejudice is granted.

So Ordered by the Court on September 20th 2024 and stipulated by the Parties.

Judge Lunnen _____

Date: _____

End Of Order - Signature at the Top of the First Page

CERTIFICATE OF NOTIFICATION

I certify that a copy of the attached document was sent to the following people for case 211401656 by the method and on the date specified.


Certificate of Service

I certify that I filed with the court and am serving a copy of this Order and Ruling for the Courts signature on the following individuals in matter 211401656.

| Person's Name | Service Method | Service Address | Service Date |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| State of Utah Craig Peterson | <input type="checkbox"/> Mail | craigpeterson@agutah.gov Efile via 4th District Court Receptionist | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Hand Delivery | | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-filed | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Email | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Left at business (With person in charge or in receptacle for deliveries.) | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Left at home (With person of suitable age and discretion residing there.) | | |
| Judge Lunnen | <input type="checkbox"/> Mail | 4thlunnenteam@utcourts.gov Efile via 4th District Court Receptionist | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Hand Delivery | | |
| | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> E-filed | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Email | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Left at business (With person in charge or in receptacle for deliveries.) | | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Left at home (With person of suitable age and discretion residing there.) | | |

September 23rd 2024

Signature ►



Date

Printed Name Mark Stewart Allen

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK